

March 16, 1983

LB 270

from the committee amendments and keep LB 270. It is a good bill. It is something that we need but we do not need this amendment and I urge you to oppose a vote for this committee amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I would move to divide the question so that the emergency clause is one question and the retroactive aspect of the proposition is the second question.

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Beutler, what you want to do is separate the question and divide the question between the emergency clause and the rest of the amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, sir.

SENATOR CLARK: All right, that is divisible. We will take the first part of the amendment first. Is there any discussion on it? Senator Haberman. Did you want to talk on the first part of the Sieck amendment without the emergency clause. One moment, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I am sorry, Mr. Speaker. I want to be sure we are clear on what we are debating. Right now we are debating the emergency clause?

SENATOR CLARK: No, we are debating the first part of the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: We are debating the retrospective aspect.

SENATOR CLARK: We are debating the first part of the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR CLARK: Go ahead, Senator Haberman. I am sorry.

SENATOR HABERMAN: That is all right, Mr. President, beings you are in control, that is all right with me. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, we visited with the Judge Fahrnbruch and he is interested in the body of the bill, he is interested in the context of the bill. However, and he wouldn't, and I don't blame him, he didn't commit himself on the grandfather clause and emergency clause but he says the body of the bill is of importance so I am going to say that the gray area, the gray area in this case which this bill applies to is pending in court, and if we pass this